| 1 | BEFORE THE |
|----|---|
| 2 | ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION |
| 3 | BENCH SESSION |
| 4 | (PUBLIC UTILITY) |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Springfield, Illinois |
| 7 | Wednesday, April 2, 2014 |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:30 a.m. at |
| 10 | 527 East Capitol Avenue, Springfield, Illinois. |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | PRESENT: |
| 14 | MR. DOUGLAS P. SCOTT, Chairman |
| 15 | MR. JOHN T. COLGAN, Commissioner |
| 16 | MR. MIGUEL del VALLE, Commissioner |
| 17 | MS. ANN McCABE, Commissioner (by video) |
| 18 | MS. SHERINA E. MAYE, Commissioner (by video) |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES, by |
| 24 | Angela C. Turner, Reporter CSR #084-004122 |

PROCEEDINGS

2 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is everything ready in
3 Chicago?

COMMISSIONER MCCABE: Yes.

1

4

5 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Pursuant to the provisions of the Open Meetings Act, I now convene a regularly 6 7 scheduled Bench Session of the Illinois Commerce 8 Commission. With me in Springfield are Commissioner 9 Colgan and Commissioner del Valle. With us in 10 Chicago are Commissioner McCabe and Commissioner 11 Maye. I am Chairman Scott and we have a quorum. 12 Before moving into the agenda, according to Section 1700.10 of Title 2 of the Administrative 13 Code, this is the time we allow members of the public 14 15 to address the Commission. Members of the public 16 wishing to address the Commission must notify the Chief Clerk's office at least 24 hours prior to 17 Commission meetings. According to the Chief Clerk's 18 19 office, we have no requests to speak at today's Bench 20 Session.

(The Transportation Agenda was held
at this time and is contained in a
separate transcript.)

24 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moving on to the Public

1 Utility Agenda. We'll begin with the approval of 2 minutes from our March 5th Bench Session. I understand amendments have been forwarded. 3 4 Is there a motion to amend the minutes? 5 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: So moved. CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved by Commissioner 6 7 McCabe. 8 Second? 9 COMMISSIONER MAYE: Second. CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Second by Commissioner 10 11 Maye. 12 All in favor, say aye. 13 (Chorus of ayes.) 14 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed? 15 (No response.) 16 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is five to 17 nothing, and the amendments are adopted. 18 Is there now a motion to approve the minutes 19 as amended? 20 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: So moved. 21 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved by Commissioner 22 Colgan. 23 Second? 24 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: Second.

1 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Second by Commissioner del 2 Valle. 3 All in favor, say aye. (Chorus of ayes.) 4 5 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed? 6 (No response.) 7 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is five to nothing, and the minutes from our March 5th Bench 8 9 Session, as amended, are approved. 10 Turning now to the Electric portion of 11 today's agenda. Item E-1 is ComEd's filing to revise 12 its Rate Government Aggregation Protocols, Rate GAP, pursuant to the Commission's Order in Docket Number 13 14 13-0506. Staff recommends that we approve the 15 revision by not suspending the filing. 16 Is there any discussion? 17 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections? 18 19 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a motion to not 20 21 suspend the filing? 22 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: So moved. 23 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved by Commissioner 24 Colgan.

1 Second?

2 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: Second. 3 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Second by Commissioner 4 McCabe. 5 All in favor, say aye. (Chorus of ayes.) 6 7 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed? 8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is five to nothing, and the filing is not suspended. We will 10 11 use this five to nothing vote for the remainder of 12 today's Public Utility Agenda unless otherwise noted. 13 Item E-2 is Docket Number 11-0033. This is Amcor Flexibles' complaint against ComEd pursuant to 14 15 Section 9-250 and 10-108 of the Public Utilities Act 16 and Section 200.170 of the Rules of Practice and 17 request for Oral Argument. ALJ Teague Kingsley 18 recommends entry of an Order denying both the Request 19 for Oral Argument and Amcor's complaint. First, is there a motion to deny the Request 20 21 for Oral Argument? 22 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: So moved. 23 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved by Commissioner 24 Colgan.

1 Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER MCCABE: 2 Second. CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Second by Commissioner 3 4 McCabe. 5 All in favor, say aye. 6 (Chorus of ayes.) 7 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed? 8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is five to nothing, and the request for Oral Argument is denied. 10 11 With respect to the Order, my office has 12 some suggested edits. The edits do not change the ultimate result, but clarify that while both ComEd's 13 tariff and the Administrative Rules appear to permit 14 15 ComEd to impose late charges on a commercial and 16 industrial user like Amcor, but also clarifies that the Commission will not impose those fees in the 17 current proceeding, because it would be prejudicial 18 19 to Amcor. 20 Certainly, knowing that ComEd planned to pursue late fees would have impacted Amcor's 21

23 their decision to file a Joint Stipulation. Added to
24 that is the fact that the stipulation itself stated

22

litigation strategy, and perhaps would have impacted

1 it was the "entire evidentiary record in this 2 proceeding" and did not mention late fees. 3 Last, the edits in place -- placed in the findings and ordering paragraph the total amount owed 4 by Amcor to make it clear that they will not be 5 required to pay any late fees. 6 7 With that, I would move for the adoption of these edits. 8 9 Is there a second? COMMISSIONER del VALLE: Second. 10 11 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Second by Commissioner del 12 Valle. 13 Is there any discussion on the edits? 14 (No response.) 15 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: All in favor, say aye. 16 (Chorus of ayes.) 17 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed? 18 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is five to 19 20 nothing, and the edits are adopted. 21 Is there now a motion to enter the Order as 22 amended? 23 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: So moved. 24 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: So moved.

1 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved by Commissioner del 2 Valle. Second by Commissioner Colgan. 3 Is there any discussion? 4 (No response.) 5 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: All in favor, say aye. (Chorus of ayes.) 6 7 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed? 8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is five to nothing, and the Order, as amended, is entered. 10 Item E-3 is Docket Number 13-0568. This is 11 12 Nick Al-Farah of the Great Steak Potato Company's complaint against ComEd as to being charged for the 13 wrong meter from June 2010 to December 2012. It 14 15 appears that the parties have settled their differences and filed a stipulation and Joint Motion 16 to Dismiss, which ALJ Riley recommends we grant. 17 Is there any discussion? 18 19 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections? 20 21 (No response.) 22 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Motion to Dismiss is granted. 23 Item E-4 is Docket Number 13-0519. This is 24

1 Ameren's petition for an Order approving an

administrative procedure for resolving and paying claims for damages under Section 16-125(e) and (f) of the Public Utilities Act. ALJ Jones recommends entry of a Post-exceptions Order approving the administrative claims procedures as modified.

7 Commissioner del Valle, I believe you had8 some edits to propose.

9 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: Yes. Thank you, 10 Mr. Chairman.

I I believe that it is reasonable to standardized the notice requirement established in Docket 11-0588 in ComEd's waiver proceeding as part of Ameren's administrative claims procedure.

My edits adopt Staff's proposed eighth 15 16 recommendation into modification adopting Staff's interpretation of Administrative Code 411. We find 17 that Ameren's arguments about cost and administrative 18 19 burden are unpersuasive, because the notice 20 requirement would only come into effect in a narrow 21 set of circumstances. The task of identifying the affected customers would be completed as part of the 22 Section 125 litigation. And the number of customers 23 24 to be notified are only those deemed not to be

1 covered by waiver.

| 2 | The edits also direct Ameren to prepare a |
|----|---|
| 3 | sample notice letter with involvement and approval of |
| 4 | the Commission's Consumer Services Division to be |
| 5 | included in the administrative claim procedures. It |
| б | further conditions approval of the administrative |
| 7 | claim procedures and a compliance file to be made |
| 8 | within 60 days of this Order. |
| 9 | With that, I move the adoption of these |
| 10 | edits. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The edits have been moved. |
| 12 | Is there a second? |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Second. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Second by Commissioner |
| 15 | Colgan. |
| 16 | Discussion on the edits? |
| 17 | (No response.) |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN SCOTT: All in favor, say aye. |
| 19 | (Chorus of ayes.) |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed? |
| 21 | (No response.) |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is five to |
| 23 | nothing, and the edits are adopted. |
| 24 | Is there now a motion to enter the Order as |
| | |

1 amended?

2 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: So moved. 3 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved by Commissioner 4 McCabe. 5 Second? COMMISSIONER MAYE: Second. 6 7 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Second by Commissioner 8 Maye. 9 Any discussion? 10 (No response.) 11 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: All in favor, say aye. 12 (Chorus of ayes.) 13 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed? 14 (No response.) 15 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is five to 16 nothing, and the Order, as amended, is entered. 17 Items E-5 through E-10 can be taken together. These items are Applications for 18 Certification as an Installer of Distributed 19 Generation Facilities pursuant to Section 16-128A of 20 21 the Public Utilities Act. In each case, ALJ Baker 22 recommends entry of Order granting the requested 23 certificate. 24 Is there any discussion?

| 1 | (No response.) |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections? |
| 3 | (No response.) |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Orders |
| 5 | are entered. |
| 6 | Items E-11 and E-12 can be taken together. |
| 7 | These items are petitions for the confidential and/or |
| 8 | proprietary treatment of petitioners' various |
| 9 | compliance reports. In each case, ALJ Teague |
| 10 | Kingsley recommends entry of an Order granting the |
| 11 | requested relief. |
| 12 | Is there any discussion? |
| 13 | (No response.) |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections? |
| 15 | (No response.) |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Orders |
| 17 | are entered. |
| 18 | Turning now to Natural Gas. Items G-1 and |
| 19 | G-2 can be taken together. These items are |
| 20 | complaints against Nicor as to discontinuation of |
| 21 | service or billing and/or charges. It appears all |
| 22 | the parties have settled their differences and filed |
| 23 | Stipulations and Joint Motions to Dismiss; and in |
| | |

1 motions.

2 Is there any discussion? 3 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections? 4 5 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Motions 6 7 to Dismiss are granted. Items G-3 and G-4 can be taken together. 8 9 These items are North Shore and Peoples Gas' verified petitions for waiver of a provision of 83 Illinois 10 Administrative Code Part 285. In both cases, ALJs 11 12 Kimbrel and Hilliard recommend entry of an Order granting the requested relief. 13 14 Is there any discussion? 15 (No response.) 16 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections? 17 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Orders 18 are entered. 19 On to Telecommunications. Item T-1 is 20 21 Docket Number 13-0594. This is our amendment of 83 Illinois Administrative Code 705, which will set 22 23 forth various requirements for maintaining and 24 preserving records of telecommunications carriers.

1 ALJ Haynes recommends entry of a Second Notice Order. 2 Is there any discussion? 3 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections? 4 5 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Order is 6 7 entered. Item T-2 is Docket Number 14-0124. This is 8 9 MetroPCS Michigan's Application for Certificate of 10 Wireless Authority to operate as a provider of Commercial Mobile Radio Service in Illinois. ALJ 11 12 Riley recommends entry of an Order granting the 13 requested certificate. 14 Is there any discussion? 15 (No response.) 16 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections? 17 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Order is 18 19 entered. Items T-3 and T-4 can be taken together. 20 21 These items are petitions for the confidential and/or 22 proprietary treatment of petitioners' various 23 compliance reports. In each case, the ALJ recommends 24 entry of an Order granting the requested relief.

1 Is there any discussion? 2 (No response.) 3 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections? 4 (No response.) 5 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Orders 6 are entered. 7 Items T-5 through T-7 can be taken together. 8 These items are Applications for State-issued 9 Authority to provide cable service pursuant to Section 401 of the Cable and Video Competition Law of 10 11 2007. In each case, the Applicant has filed a motion 12 to withdraw their Application, which ALJ Riley recommends we grant. 13 14 Is there any discussion? 15 (No response.) 16 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections? 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Motions to Withdraw are granted. 19 On to Water and Sewer. Item W-1 is Docket 20 21 Number 13-0595. This is Aqua Illinois' Petition for 22 a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to operate a water supply and distribution system in 23 24 McHenry County, Illinois; and for the Issuance of

Order approving rates, accounting entries and tariff
 language. ALJ Riley recommends entry of an Order
 granting the requested relief.

4 Is there any discussion? Commissioner5 McCabe.

6 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: Yes. I want to 7 commend Staff who's worked on this a long time and 8 Aqua. While the rates for McHenry Shore's customers 9 will increase, they should be commensurate with 10 similar utilities. And in return, they will have a 11 number of improvements over the next few years, 12 including water main replacements, improvements to their storage tank, removing iron from their water, 13 emergency power generation to ensure reliable 14 15 service, and all meters eventually will have remote 16 read capability. Hopefully, it's a win-win and we 17 have one less troubled water utility on our hands. 18 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Completely agree with those 19 comments. Any further discussion? 20 21 (No response.) 22 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Are there any objections to

23 entering the Order?

24 (No response.)

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Order is
 entered.

3 Item W-2 is Docket Number 14-0094. This is 4 Kevin Plunkett's complaint against Agua as to billing and/or charges in Chicago. It appears the parties 5 have settled their differences and filed a 6 7 Stipulation for Dismissal. ALJ Riley recommends dismissal of the proceeding. 8 9 Is there any discussion? 10 (No response.) 11 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections? 12 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the 13 proceeding is dismissed. 14 15 We have two sets of Petitions for Rehearing 16 to consider today. Item PR-1 is Docket Number 17 10-0635. This is the Commission's determination under Section 5 of the Illinois Gas Pipeline Safety 18 19 Act regarding United States Steel's plan for the inspection and maintenance of its pipeline facilities 20 21 in and near its Granite City Works. U.S. Steel and the Industrial Associations have both filed Petitions 22 23 for Rehearing of the Commission's Interim Order, 24 which ALJ Wallace recommends we deny.

1 Is there any discussion?

2 JUDGE WALLACE: Mr. Chairman.

3 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Yes.

JUDGE WALLACE: I would just like to note that United States Steel has also filed an additional motion, and that will be dealt with. The parties and I set a schedule for responses to that. U.S. Steel wanted to try to have the Motion for Stay and the Petition for Rehearing together. But statutorily, I don't see any way to do that.

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: This is pretty much our
 last chance to deal with a Petition for Rehearing.
 JUDGE WALLACE: Yes.

14 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a motion to deny 15 both Petitions for Rehearing?

16 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: So moved.

17 COMMISSIONER MAYE: So moved.

18 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved by Commissioner del

19 Valle. Second by Commissioner Maye.

20 Is there any discussion on that motion?

21 (No response.)

22 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved and seconded.

23 All in favor, say aye.

24 (Chorus of ayes.)

| 1 | CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Opposed? |
|----|---|
| 2 | (No response.) |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is five to |
| 4 | nothing, and the Petitions for Rehearing are denied. |
| 5 | Item PR-2 is Docket Number 12-0598. This is |
| б | Ameren Transmission Company of Illinois' Petition for |
| 7 | a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity |
| 8 | pursuant to Section 8-406.1 of the Illinois Public |
| 9 | Utilities Act, and an Order pursuant to Section 8-503 |
| 10 | of the Public Utilities Act to construct, operate and |
| 11 | maintain a new high voltage electric service line and |
| 12 | related facilities in counties across Illinois. |
| 13 | The Macon County Property Owners, William, |
| 14 | Patricia and Jamie Garrett and Donald and Shirley |
| 15 | McWard, Edward Corley and the Edward Corley Trust and |
| 16 | the Lockhart Living Trust have all filed Petitions |
| 17 | for Rehearing concerning the Second Order on |
| 18 | Rehearing. ALJs Albers and Yoder recommend that we |
| 19 | deny the Applications for Rehearing concerning the |
| 20 | Second Order on Rehearing. |
| 21 | Is there any discussion? |
| 22 | (No response.) |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a motion to deny |
| 24 | the Petitions for Rehearing? |

1 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: So moved. 2 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved by Commissioner 3 McCabe. 4 Is there a second? COMMISSIONER COLGAN: 5 Second. 6 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Second by Commissioner 7 Colgan. Is there any discussion? 8 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: All in favor, say aye. 11 (Chorus of ayes.) 12 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Opposed? (No response.) 13 14 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is five to 15 nothing, and the Petitions for Rehearing are denied. 16 We have three items of other business to consider today. Given that the first item is a FERC 17 18 matter concerning pending litigation, we will take 19 that item last, because it requires us to go into closed session to address it. 20 21 So go to Item 2 is our Resolution in support 22 of 811, the number to call before you dig to locate 23 utility lines. I'm going to read the parts of the 24 Resolution.

1 Whereas, every eight minutes in our nation, 2 an underground utility line is damaged with serious 3 consequences ranging from personal injury or death to service interruptions because someone decided not to 4 5 call 811 before digging; and whereas, public awareness of underground infrastructure is key to 6 7 protecting vital utility resources that we rely on to 8 operate our homes and businesses every day.

There are four more whereas clauses. 9 The resolved clause reads: Now therefore be it resolved 10 11 that the Illinois Commerce Commission joins Governor 12 Pat Quinn and Public Utility Commissions across the country in emphasizing the importance of pipeline 13 safety and recognizing April as "Call Before You Dig 14 15 Month" on this second day of April 2014. 16 Is there any discussion on the Resolution?

17 (No response.)

18 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a motion to adopt 19 the Resolution?

20 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: So moved.

21 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved by Commissioner22 Colgan.

23 Is there a second?

24 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: Second.

1 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Second by Commissioner 2 McCabe. 3 Any discussion? 4 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SCOTT: All in favor of the 5 6 Resolution, say aye. 7 (Chorus of ayes.) 8 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Opposed? 9 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is five to 10 11 nothing, and the Resolution is adopted. 12 Item 3 is our Resolution in support of Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program Funding. 13 14 Commissioner Colgan. 15 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Thank you, Chairman. 16 Adequate utility service is vital to the health and safety of all Illinois households. 17 18 Unfortunately, the need for energy assistance continues to grow during the current economic hard 19 times. And at the same time, we have some severe 20 21 constraints on state budgets and the increased strain 22 on the nation's support system provided by 23 not-for-profits, faith-based groups, and other 24 community organizations that have limited resources

1 available for energy assistance.

As many of you know, the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program, or the acronym LIHEAP, is the main federal program that helps low income households, people with special needs, and seniors with their energy bills, providing vital assistance during the cold winter, and to a lesser extent, the summer months.

9 Although the number of households eligible 10 for LIHEAP continues to exceed those receiving 11 assistance, this funding has been a lifeline during 12 the economic downturn and rising energy costs, 13 helping to ensure that people do not have to choose 14 between paying their energy bills, paying for food, 15 medicine or other important household needs.

16 The U.S. Census Bureau recently reported 17 that 46.5 million people lived in poverty in 2012, 18 which is the largest number in the 56-year history of 19 the published poverty estimates.

The increasing poverty rate, coupled with underfunding of energy assistance programs, produces an increase in arrearages and service disconnections that cause families to resort to unsafe and sometimes life-threatening measures in lieu of utility

1 services.

According to NEADA, which is the National Energy Assistance Director's Association, last year, LIHEAP provided vital energy assistance to 6.7 million households, which was a decrease of 1.3 million households since 2010.

7 The number of veteran households served by 8 LIHEAP increased by more than 150 percent during the 9 same period from about 700,000 in Fiscal Year 2008 to 10 1.78 million in Fiscal Year 2011, which represents an 11 increase from 12 percent of the total LIHEAP 12 recipients to 20 percent since 2008.

13 The Administration's proposed \$674 million 14 cut, which would be an 18 percent cut to the LIHEAP 15 program in its Fiscal Year 2015 federal budget, 16 threatens to reduce the overall number served by over 17 one million households.

Congress, last year, was able to restore funding to the Fiscal Year 2014 appropriation to approximately \$3.5 billion, representing a significant cut from Fiscal Year 2011's appropriation of \$4.7 billion, and less than Fiscal Year 2010 funding of \$5.1 billion. And interestingly enough, that increase that Congress was able to put into the

budget last year was pretty much entirely consumed by
 propane customers because of the increased costs. I
 think we all noticed the huge increase spike in
 propane costs this past winter.

5 So today, I am sponsoring a Resolution 6 stating that the Illinois Commerce Commission not 7 only understands that Congress, the Administration 8 and our nation confront challenging fiscal decisions, 9 but we also recognize the need to work cooperatively 10 to address our country's deficit in a manner that 11 will promote job growth and economic recovery.

12 The Resolution concludes by urging the 13 Administration to request and Congress to fund the 14 Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program at \$5.1 15 billion.

16 I am asking the Commission's support for this Resolution. And Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, 17 I move that the Commission adopt the Resolution in 18 19 support of the LIHEAP funding and to send that 20 Resolution to the Illinois Congressional Delegation 21 and to the leaders of Congress in Washington, D.C. 22 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second? 23 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: Second. 24 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Second by Commissioner del

1 Valle.

Discussion? Commissioner del Valle. 2 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: Thank you, 3 4 Mr. Chairman. I strongly support this Resolution. I 5 thank Commissioner Colgan for bringing it to us. Ι was shocked, Commissioner, when I saw those numbers. 6 7 I didn't realize that the cut was so deep for the 8 current fiscal year. And then on top of that, we're 9 talking about another 18 percent cut of \$640 million. 10 The timing couldn't be worse for this. I can't tell you the number of people that I have 11 12 talked to that are not knowing at this point how they're going to pay their heating bills. The need 13 14 is tremendous out there. 15 So I hope that in that letter that goes to 16 our Congressional Delegation and the leaders of 17 Congress that we use some language that is forceful, 18 if you will, because we need to convey to them the fact that what we have, in effect, is an emergency 19 20 situation right now. And it has to be addressed. Lots of folks are relying on LIHEAP. And we just 21 22 can't accept these cuts.

23 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I thank you for those24 comments, Commissioner.

And I know, as I was working on this -- as my assistant Linda Wagner and I worked on this Resolution through the week, I was reminded that I was working on poverty issues back in the 1980's in Peoria. And at that time, we started talking about a group of people that we called the new poor.

7 Well, those same groups of people are now 8 still in the same situation, and even bigger groups 9 of people, and they're no longer newly poor. What is 10 happening is we're seeing an erosion of the American 11 middle class and that the movement is down.

12 And this is going to be an ongoing problem. It's not just a problem for the people that are 13 affected by it who can't pay their utility bills. 14 15 It's also a problem for the utilities, because it 16 continues to mount the arrearages that people have 17 and the uncollectible debts that they're achieving. So in addition to our movement and our 18 efforts to do something to support the LIHEAP 19 20 program, I would support our regulated utilities in 21 Illinois to do the same. CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Further discussion? 22

23 (No response.)

24 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: I can't agree more with all

the comments that both these gentlemen have made.
And I have gotten to see it from helping to
administer LIHEAP through the City of Rockford back
when I worked there on a couple of different
occasions and the numbers of people and the types of
issues and problems that they were having that LIHEAP
actually assisted them with.

8 And you know, we spend a great deal of time 9 trying to make sure that we achieve that proper balance between the utility's interest and being able 10 11 to provide the service and the customer's interest in 12 being able to pay for the service. But when you see something like this and you understand how basic 13 these needs are, and that there is such a huge number 14 15 of people who aren't able to access what a lot of us 16 take for granted in terms of being able to heat our 17 homes, that you see how really important a program 18 like LIHEAP is.

And while there is great recognition that that's necessary, it always strikes me that there is not recognition as to the depth of what the problem is. So you see this kind of dance every year federally with this program where, you know, money will be proposed to be taken out by one side or

another. It's not just a Congress or Administration
 thing. And then the other will fight to put it back
 in.

4 It would be a much more honest discussion 5 just to assess what the levels of need actually are, 6 because even at the levels that we're recommending in 7 this Resolution, we're not coming close to what the 8 need is throughout the country. And that would be 9 the next step and an even greater public debate and a 10 necessary public debate.

But I appreciate the efforts that you made to bring this Resolution to us. And I wholeheartedly support it.

14 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Thank you for those 15 comments. And you make a really good point that even 16 back in 2010, when the program was funded at 17 \$5.1 billion, it still only was enough money to serve 18 a little bit less than 40 percent of the eligible 19 population. 20 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Right.

21 Further discussion?

22 (No response.)

23 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and24 seconded to adopt the Resolution.

1 All in favor, say aye. 2 (Chorus of ayes.) 3 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed? 4 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is five to 5 nothing, and the Resolution is adopted. 6 7 Now, we go back to Item 1, which is FERC Docket Number ER14-1461-000 concerning the ICC's 8 comments on PJM's March 10 filing proposing revisions 9 10 to the Reliability Pricing Model. This is a FERC matter concerning pending 11 12 litigation, so we will need to go into closed session 13 to address it. 14 Is there a motion to go into closed session? 15 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: So moved. 16 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: So moved. CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved by Commissioner 17 18 McCabe. Second by Commissioner Colgan. 19 All in favor, say aye. 20 (Chorus of ayes.) 21 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed? 22 (No response.) 23 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is five to 24 nothing, and the Commission will now go into closed

| 1 | session. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Please let me know when the room is ready in |
| 3 | Chicago. |
| 4 | (At this point, pages 32 - 58 of |
| 5 | the proceeding are contained in a |
| 6 | separate closed transcript.) |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |

| 1 | CONTINUATION OF PROCEEDINGS |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN SCOTT: In closed session, the |
| 3 | Commission discussed FERC Docket Number ER14-1461-000 |
| 4 | concerning the ICC's comments on PJM's March 10 |
| 5 | filing proposing revisions to the Reliability Pricing |
| б | Model to address purported speculative offers |
| 7 | submitted by market participants in the base residual |
| 8 | auctions. |
| 9 | Is there a motion to file the comments with |
| 10 | FERC? |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER MCCABE: So moved. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved by Commissioner |
| 13 | McCabe. |
| 14 | Is there a second? |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER MAYE: Second. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Second by Commissioner |
| 17 | Maye. |
| 18 | All in favor, say aye. |
| 19 | (Chorus of ayes.) |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed? |
| 21 | (No response.) |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is five to |
| 23 | nothing, and the filing will be made with FERC. |
| 24 | Judge Wallace, any other matters to come |

before the Commission today? JUDGE WALLACE: No. That's all, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you. So hearing none, this meeting stands adjourned. Thank you, everyone. б BENCH SESSION ADJOURNED.

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | I, Angela C. Turner, a Certified Shorthand |
| 5 | Reporter within and for the State of Illinois, do |
| 6 | hereby certify that the Bench Session aforementioned |
| 7 | was held on the time and in the place previously |
| 8 | described. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my |
| 11 | hand and seal. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Angela C. Turner IL CSR #084-004122 |
| 19 | IL CSK #004-004122 |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |